

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

M

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
BONNIE J. DAVIS
TAYLOR, PORTER, BROOKS & PHILLIPS LLP
P.O. BOX 2471
BATON ROUGE, LA 70821-2471

RECEIVED
11-22-04
TAYLOR, PORTER, BROOKS & PHILLIPS

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND
THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) **18 NOV 2004**

Applicant's or agent's file reference
GREENWAY 02P

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.
PCT/US04/16647

International filing date
(day/month/year) 27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)

Applicant
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:
 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. ☐ With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
 - ☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
 - ☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within **19 months** from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase **until 30 months** from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, **within 20 months** from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of **30 months** (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer
Patricia Leith

Telephone No. 571-272-0968

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference GREENWAY 02P	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/US04/16647	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 28 May 2003 (28.05.2003)
Applicant BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 2 sheets.



It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the Report

- a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.



The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

- b. ☐ With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II)

3. ☐ Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III)

4. With regard to the title,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,



the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.



the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the drawings,

- a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. _____



as suggested by the applicant.



as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.



as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

- b. ☒

none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/16647

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A61K 35/78

US CL : 424/765

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/765

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
Searched West

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 6,200,568 B1(FALCON) 13 March 2001 (13.03.2001), column 2, lines 5-11.	1-30
Y	US 6,544,947 B2 (HOLADAY et al) 08 April 2003 (04.04.2003), other publications, O'Reilly et al.	31-72
Y	US 6,440,448 B1(INTELISANO) 27 August 2002 (27.08.2002), column 4, lines 59-65.	31-72

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 October 2004 (18.10.2004)

Date of mailing of the international search report

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Patricia Leith

Telephone No. 571-272-0968

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
BONNIE J. DAVIS
TAYLOR, PORTER, BROOKS & PHILLIPS LLP
P.O. BOX 2471
BATON ROUGE, LA 70821-2471

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **18 NOV 2004**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

GREENWAY 02P

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/US04/16647

International filing date (day/month/year)

27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)

28 May 2003 (28.05.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A61K 35/78 and US Cl.: 424/765

Applicant

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Patricia Leith

Telephone No. 571-272-0968

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/16647

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US04/16647

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-135</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>73-135</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-72</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-135</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-30 lack inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being unpatentable over FALCON (US 6,200,568) in view of HOLADAY et al. (US 6,544,947). Falcon (see, e.g. column 2, lines 5-11) teaches gallic acid derivatives can treat (i.e. ameliorate) angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. Falcon does not teach the combination of gallic acid and angiostatin to treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. HOLADAY et al. (see, e.g. other publications, O'Reilly et al. "Angiostatin: A Circulating Endothelial Cell Inhibitor that Suppresses Angiogenesis and Tumor Growth") teaches that angiostatin treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Falcon's teachings to include the beneficial teachings of Holaday because the combined teaching of combining a gallic acid derivative and an angiostatin would create an improved method of treating angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. The adjustments of other conventional working conditions (i.e. the how to administrate the active ingredients), is deemed judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 31-72 lack inventive step under PCT 33(3) as being unpatentable over INTELISANO (US 6,440,448) in view of HOLADAY (US 6,544,947). Intelisano teach (see, e.g. column 4, lines 59-65) that Terminalia chebula (haritaki) treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. Intelisano does not teach that the combination of Terminalia chebula and angiostatin to treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. Holaday et al. (US 6,544,947) teach that angiostatin treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Intelisano's teachings to include the beneficial teachings of Holaday because the combined teachings of each active ingredient would create an improved method of treating angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors. The adjustments of other conventional working conditions (i.e. how to administrate the active ingredients), is deemed judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Claims 1-135 meet the novelty criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2) because there is no express teachings of the claimed method comprising the combination of each active ingredient together for treating angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors.

Claims 73-135 meet the inventive step criteria set out in PCT Article 33(3) because claims 73-135 there are no express teachings to suggest an anti-angiogenic composition comprising the claimed active ingredients together in the claimed ranges.

Claims 1-135 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) because the claimed method can treat angiogenic-associated diseases such as tumors utilizing the claimed steps and/or the claimed compositions can be created by combining the claimed active ingredients in the claimed ranges.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/16647

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : A61K 35/78

US CL : 424/765

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/765

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
Searched West

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 6,200,568 B1(FALCON) 13 March 2001 (13.03.2001), column 2, lines 5-11.	1-30
Y	US 6,544,947 B2 (HOLADAY et al) 08 April 2003 (04.04.2003), other publications, O'Reilly et al.	31-72
Y	US 6,440,448 B1(INTELISANO) 27 August 2002 (27.08.2002), column 4, lines 59-65.	31-72

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 October 2004 (18.10.2004)

Date of mailing of the international search report

18 NOV 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Arm: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Patricia Leith

Telephone No. 571-272-0968